

DELIVERABLE REPORT

Deliverable no. / title: D8.3 USE CASES

Lead beneficiary: Fraunhofer SCAI

Nature of deliverable: Report

Dissemination level: PU – Public

Due date: M12 / December 2022

Grant Agreement number: 875489

Project acronym: SONAR

Project title: Modelling for the search for new active materials for

redox flow batteries

Funding scheme: H2020-LC-BAT-2019

Coordinator: Fraunhofer ICT

Jens Noack

Tel: 0049 721 4640 870

E-mail: jens.noack@ict.fraunhofer.de

Project website: www.sonar-redox.eu

Disclaimer: This project has received funding from the European

Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under Grant Agreement no. 875489. This report reflects only the authors' view. The funding agency is not responsible for any use made of the

information it contains.



Table of contents

| 1 | Gene | ral remarks | 4 |
|---|--------|--|----|
| 2 | Use C | Case definitions | 5 |
| | 2.1 | Work package 1 – high throughput screening | 5 |
| | 2.2 | Work package 2 – atomistic simulations | 6 |
| | 2.3 | Work package 3 – electrode kinetics | 6 |
| | 2.4 | Work package 4 – continuum cell | 7 |
| | 2.5 | Work package 5 – pore scale models | 8 |
| | 2.6 | Work package 6 – stack & system | 9 |
| | 2.7 | Work package 7 – holistic | S |
| 3 | Next s | stens | 10 |



| History | | | | | |
|------------|---------|-----------------|----------|--|--|
| Date | Version | Partner | Comments | | |
| 01.11.2020 | v0.1 | Fraunhofer SCAI | creation | | |

| List of Acro | List of Acronyms | | | | |
|--------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| DMP | Data Management Plan | | | | |
| EDP | Exploitation and Dissemination Plan | | | | |
| FAIR | FAIR Findable, Accessible, Interoperable and Reusable | | | | |
| GA | Grant Agreement | | | | |
| IEB | Industrial Exploitation Board | | | | |
| ORD pilot | Open Research Data Pilot | | | | |
| WP | Work Package | | | | |



1 **General remarks**

Use cases are a technique for capturing, modelling and specifying the requirements of a system. As such, they are a way to verify or even demonstrate the relevance of the tasks set, the correctness of the results and thus the overall usefulness of a tool or technique.

This document contains the use cases proposed after three years of research and development. Depending on the still ongoing further developments and input from end-user feedback, the individual examples may be revised or iteratively refined in the remaining time.

Ultimately, this compilation (or a subset thereof) should serve to illustrate the benefits of the individual services during the final workshop and on the central website so that they can be lead to follow-up projects and the project results can continue to be used after the project is completed. Until then, this document will be updated when necessary.

The following section lists for all work packages a preliminary definition of use cases.



2 <u>Use Case definitions</u>

2.1 Work package 1 - high throughput screening

| Use Case | Goal | Task | Sample Input | Tool | Output |
|--------------|------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|
| screening of | demonstrate validity of | For set of compounds, which are the best | reference compounds + | standard workflow | OCV + max power densities |
| reference | approach/quality of results | posolyte/negolyte combinations with respect | default reaction templates | + 0D model | for resulting potential FB |
| compounds | | to basic cell performace parameters? | (D1.4) | | chemistries |
| screening of | demonstrate speed of | search a given vendor data base for FB with | vendor compounds | standard workflow, | OCV for resulting potential |
| vendor | technique/provide a survey of | > 0.4 V OCV | (eMolecules) + default | D1.4 | FB-chemistries |
| compounds | commercially available chemistries | | reaction templates | | |
| screening of | demonstrate in depth study for a | for a given scaffold, which functional groups | virtual library (scaffold + | standard workflow | half cell potentials, solubility |
| derivatives | given core structure/customize a | work best with respect to redox potential and | functional groups to be | | |
| | core structure | solublity? | chosen) + default reaction | | |
| | | | templates | | |
| screening + | find promising posolyte/negolyte | for a given class of compounds, which ones | diverse quinone structures + | standard workflow | OCV for resulting potential |
| ageing | combinations and estimate risk of | are the best posolyte/negolyte pairs AND are | default reaction templates + | + modifications | FB-chemistries, list of |
| | ageing by formal reaction network | they at risk for ageing? | reaction templates for known | | potential side products |
| | | | ageing mechanisms | | |
| generate | find novel chemistry beyond vendor | create hypthetical molecules and find best | target properties (e.g. half cell | molecule generator | OCV for novel FB |
| mols + | catalogues | posolyte/negolyte combination wrt OCV. | potential) | + standard | chemistries, synthetic |
| screening | | | | workflow | accessibility score |
| design/ | demonstrate design | For a given simulation domain, at which | D1.5 | workflow for | absolute current as a |
| optimization | workflow/optimize absolute current | flowrate is the maximum absolute current | | optimizing 3D cell | function of flow rate |
| | | achieved? | | designs, (D1.5) | |



2.2 Work package 2 – atomistic simulations

| Use Case | Goal | Task | Sample Input | Tool | Output |
|---|---|--|--------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| screening or optimization of organic electrolytes | predict potential degradation reactions and rates | for a given electrolyte composition, use efficient algorithms for the exploration of potential energy surfaces to map out a reaction network | molecular species in the electrolyte | electronic structure program with efficient algorithms for single-ended transition state searches + layers of scripts do drive the exploration | rates of degradation reactions |

2.3 Work package 3 – electrode kinetics

| Use Case | Goal | Task | Sample Input | Tool | Output |
|--------------|--------------------------------|--|---------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|
| screening/ | demonstrate modeling | Define target events in a given system and | Experiments and | kMC model | Steady-state potential of target |
| simulation | methodology and simulate | simulate the electrochemistry behavior of | WP2 | | system and the electrical double |
| | the potential evolution | targeted compounds in mesoscopic level | | | layer structure |
| screening/ | simulate the viscosity of | Estimate the diffusion coefficient and the | Experiments and | kMC model adapted | effective diffusion coefficient of |
| simulation | electrolyte with different | viscosity of the electrolyte with given | literature | to the mean square | species and the viscosity of |
| | concentration | concentration and component | | displacement | electrolyte |
| | | | | approach | |
| design/ | demonstrate design | find optimised parameter set for the | software generation | LBM model and | optimised fiber electrode |
| optimisation | workflow / optimise eletrolyte | geometrical structure of carbon felt electrode, | of electrode | optimisation workflow | structure |
| | utilisation rate | which could maximise the electrolyte utilisation | structure | | |
| | | rate | | | |



2.4 Work package 4 – continuum cell

| Use Case | Goal | Task | Sample Input | Tool | Output |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| fast parameter | develop a robust and | Investigation of sensitivity and effect of | Ohmic cell resistance, flow | Mathematica | cell voltage as a function |
| studies for cell | computationally efficient 0D cell | operating conditions on the cell | rate, reaction rates, half-cell | | of SoC, current density |
| design and | model for performance | performance | potentials, | | and other model |
| operating | predictions | | | | parameters |
| conditions | | | | | |
| characterization of | demonstrate validity of the | Determine double layer charging | Adsorption energies, | Julia | Double layer |
| the electrochemical | approach | effects, Perform parameter | Solvation shell sizes, lonic | | capacitance |
| interface | | identification using atomistic model | molar volumes and areas, | | |
| | | results (e.g. kMC data) and | | | |
| | | experimental data | | | |
| characterization of | provision of a reduced | Optimize simplified porous electrode | porosity, unit-cell geometry, | Offline-upscaling | effective transport |
| macroscopic | electrode model that allows for | structures to maximize effective | pore-scale transport | procedure: COMSOL | parameters |
| porous electrode | an efficient evaluation of | reaction rate for a given energy | numbers (Peclet, Kinetic,) | Online model | (permeability, diffusivity, |
| properties | effective porous electrode | dissipation | | evaluation: Julia | dispersion, reaction |
| | properties | | | | rate) |
| flow cell design and | develop and validate a time- | Cell performance study for different | cell geometry, initial and | Julia | cell voltage, spatio- |
| optimization of | dependent, non-isothermal flow | cell geometries and operating | boundary conditions | | temporal resolution of |
| operating | cell model that can be used to | conditions | (concentrations, | | macro-homogeneous |
| conditions | predict cell performance for a | | temperature,), material | | fields (concentration, |
| | wide range of operating | | properties (porosity, | | temperature,) |
| | conditions | | electrical conductivity,) | | |



| design of | flow | develop and validate a spatially | What flow channel structure | 3D cell geometry including COMSOL | cell voltage, spatially |
|----------------|-------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| channels | and | resolved 3D cell model for | maximizes the cell performance? What | flow channels, boundary | resolved velocity, |
| optimization | of | performance predictions | is the optimal choice of materials? | and inflow conditions | pressure, concentration, |
| material prope | rties | | What operating conditions maximize | (concen-trations, | temperature fields |
| | | | the cell efficiency? | temperature,), material | |
| | | | | properties, | |

2.5 Work package 5 - pore scale models

| Use Case | Goal | Task | Sample Input | Tool | Output | |
|------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| screening of electrode | test promising electrode materials | scan material and built digital twin | microstructure | 3D microscale continuum | microstructural dependency of | |
| material and chemical | and estimate their performance for | and find optimum flow rate, | digital twin, | model (half-cell) | concentration distribution, | |
| system | different electrochemical systems | current density, concentration | chemical system | | half-cell potential, flow | |
| design/optimization | demonstrate topology optimisation | optimise cell design for laboratory | geometrical data | Simplified 2D half-cell | new optimised stuctures | |
| | on the cell level | or small.scale application | | model and topology | | |
| | | | | optimisation framework | | |
| | | | | within COMSOL | | |



2.6 Work package 6 – stack & system

| Use Case | Goal | Task | Sample Input | Tool | Output |
|-----------------|-----------------------|---|--|----------------|-----------------------|
| hydraulic stack | to simulate the | The hydraulic stack model mainly considers the | cell number, cell geometry, flow | consulting | flow rates, pressure |
| model | hydraulic performance | electrolyte flow and the associated pressure losses | frame geometry, SOC range, | services using | losses of different |
| | of a commercial-size | through the active cell areas, channels feeding the cells | currents, total concentrations, flow | the developed | components in a |
| | battery stack | and the manifolds connected to the channels. The pipes | factor, pipe length, pump efficiency | standalone | stack, pump power, |
| | | and pumps connected to the stack can also be simulated. | etc. | software | flow distribution |
| electrochemical | to simulate the | The electrochemical stack model is based on an | cell number, stack geometry, | Consulting | electrolyte |
| stack model | electrochemical | equivalent resistor network to determine the cell | capacity, SOC range, currents, total | services using | concentrations, cell |
| | performance of a | voltages, currents, energy and efficiencies, considering | concentrations, diffusion | the developed | and stack voltages, |
| | commercial-size | the ion diffusion across the membrane, gassing side | coefficients, cell formal potential, | standalone | cell + shunt currents |
| | battery stack | reactions and oxidation of ions. | resistivity, current efficiency factor | software | resistances, energy, |
| | | | etc. | | efficiencies |

2.7 Work package 7 - holistic

| Use Case | Goal | Task | Sample Input | Tool | Output |
|------------------------|------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| techno-economic | development of a stand-alone | simple adaptation of an existing | basic fitting with more than | python-based | energy and |
| benchmark of different | software for the output of cost | techno-economic model filled with | 40 input variables as mean | techno-economic | power related |
| aqueous flow batteries | allocations and as a basis for a | input variables to own FB or own input | values of validation | model of organic and | costs and their |
| | possible integration into a Micro- | variables and fast output of the | measurements carried out | inorganic aqueous | distributions |
| | Grid simulation | resulting relevant cost distributions | in the laboratory | flow batteries | |
| | | | | | |



3 Next steps

- The current definitions will be presented to end-users for evaluation.
- Depending on the assessment, the tasks/scenarios may be revised and/or the examples completed with concrete input and reference data.
- In a later phase, the results are reviewed and assessed again by the end users.
- Proven examples may be presented at an end-user workshop and will be published on the website.